

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/689,136	ENGELHARDT ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Daniel M. Sullivan	1636

All Participants:

Status of Application: Allowed

(1) Daniel M. Sullivan. (3) _____.

(2) Janet Embretson. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 29 July 2005 and 30 August 2005

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1-4, 6-12, 29-84 and 86-95

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed:
The parties discussed amendments to the claims to place the application in condition for allowance. The substance of the discussion is reflected in the claim amendments filed 3August 2005 and in the instant Examiner's amendment..